Core Strategy Further Issues and Options

Summary of Written Representations and Consultation Event Log

Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals) Update

March 2009









CON	TENTS	PAGE
1.0	CONSULTATION OVERVIEW	1
2.0	LIST OF ORGANISATIONS AND GROUPS	3
3.0	CONSULTATION LETTER	5
4.0	A LIST OF THOSE WHO SUBMITTED A WRITTEN REPRESENTATION	7
5.0	SCHEDULE OF WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS	8
6.0	CONSULTATION EVENT INVITE LETTER	12
7.0	LIST OF PEOPLE INVITED TO MINERALS STAKEHOLDER MEETING	15
8.0	DELEGATE LIST	16
9.0	POWERPOINT PRESENTATION	17
10.0	FACILITATOR NOTES	21
11.0	SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES FROM STAKEHOLDER MEETING	35

1.0 CONSULTATION OVERVIEW

BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Core Strategy is the document that will show broad areas for growth and restraint, and will set out the role that different areas of the District will have in 2026. . There are three stages in the production of the Core Strategy, the first being the preproduction stage that is termed 'issues and options' stage; the next is the preferred option stage and lastly examination stage prior to adoption of the document. It will become the statutory development plan for the district once adopted
- 1.2 In line with the requirements of the new Planning system, Bradford Council has undertaken public consultation on the issues and options for the Bradford in January 2007. The Council undertook further consultation at this stage following the publication of revised housing figures in the Regional Spatial Strategy (the regional development plan published by the Yorkshire and Humber Assembly) in October 2007. In addition to this, further consultation was also undertaken on the Waste Management and minerals elements of the Core Strategy. This consultation report outlines the consultation and responses received to the minerals consultation.

FURTHER ISSUES AND OPTIONS CONSULTATION:

TOPIC PAPER 7: ENVIRONMENT (MINERALS) UPDATE

- 1.3 Following consideration of comments received to the initial Topic Paper 7: Environment in 2007, and to take account of changes to the national and regional policies, the Council felt it necessary to revise the documents relating to the environment to include further information surrounding the issue of minerals. This enabled stakeholders to assist in choosing options regarding minerals and to make further comments, prior to the preparation of the Preferred Options document.
- 1.4 Consultation on the Further Issues and Options documents for Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals) update took place between 6th November until 12th December 2008. In total the Council received 2 written representations to this consultation document. This was deemed to be a disappointing response.
- 1.5 Following the above consultation, the Minerals and Waste Team took the step to arrange a minerals stakeholder meeting, targeting the statutory bodies as well as minerals industry, such as site operators, minerals agents and stone merchants with an interest in the area. This meeting was held on 4th February 2009. A total of 15 people attended this event.

OBJECTIVES OF THE CONSULTATION

- 1.6 This consultation with minerals stakeholder had two broad objectives:
 - Raise awareness of the Local Development Framework and the Core Strategy for Bradford.
 - To specifically engage with key minerals industry stakeholders in exploring the key issues and options within the District.

PARTICIPANTS

1.7 The Council targeted invites to site operators, mineral agents and stone merchants with an interest in the area. Section 2.0 sets out those who were invited to the events and a sample invite letter.

MINERALS STAKEHOLDER MEETING PROGRAMME

1.8 The event took the form of a 2-hour session, which started with a general introduction and scene setting presentation setting out minerals planning issues within the District and potential planning policy options. The attendees were then divided into three break-out groups whereby policy options were discussed and comments made were recorded.

DOCUMENTATION

- 1.9 The consultation focused on the following Further Issues and Options document:
 - Topic Paper 7 Environment (Minerals) Update
- 1.10 The minerals stakeholder meeting (4th February 2009) included a PowerPoint presentation which set out a more detailed analysis and set of policy options the key minerals relevant to the District. This can be found in section 8 of this report.

BREAK OUT GROUPS

1.11 The break out groups were designed to allow people to express their opinions on the issues and options for minerals within the District until 2026.

2.0 LIST OF ORGANISATIONS AND GROUPS

- 2.1 The following people were specifically contacted as part of the further consultation on Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals) Update paper and the Waste Management and Sustainability Appraisal documents. Please note that the majority of these contacts will be duplicated from the previous consultation lists.
- A & S
- Aggregate Industries UK
- Airedale Partnership
- Allan Bailey
- ASHLAR stone products
- Associated Waste Management Limited
- Autospares Bingley Limited
- Bank Top Quarry
- Bedminster International (UK) Limited
- Berry And Marshall (Bolton Woods)
 Limited
- · Biffa Waste Services Ltd
- Bioganix Ltd
- Birks Royd Stone
- Bradford Chamber of Commerce & Industry
- Bradford Hospitals NHS Trust
- Bradford Organic Composting Scheme
- Bradford Waste Traders
- Bradley Natural Stone Products
- CBCMDC Department of Regeneration (Dockfield Road)
- CBMDC Environment & Neighbourhoods
- CBMDC Waste Project
- CEMEX UK Operations
- Charles Raistrick
- Colas Ltd
- Combined Masonry Supplies
- Covanta Energy Limited
- CPRE Bradford District

- Cullingworth Parish Council
- · Darrington Quarries Ltd
- Denholme Residents Action Group
- Denholme Town Council
- Dennis Gillson and Son
- Dennis Gillson And Son (Haworth)
 Limited
- Dial A Skip Service Limited
- Dolmens
- ENER-G plc
- English Heritage
- Ennstone Johnstone
- Environment Agency
- Erlings Works
- · Fairport Engineering Ltd
- Farrar Natural Stone
- George M Watson (Construction)
 Limited
- · Gill Demolitions
- GW Butler Limited
- Hanson Aggregates
- Hard York Quarries Ltd
- Harry Sanders Ltd
- Highways Agency, Yorkshire & Humber
- John Hornby And Sons Limited
- Keighley Town Council
- Lafarge Aggregates & Concrete UK
- Leeds Environmental Organisation
 Limited
- · Leeds Friends of the Earth
- M & G Stone Ltd
- Midgeham Cliff End Quarry Ltd

- Miles J Delaney
- Mineral Resources (Yorkshire) Limited
- Mr Bryan Scott
- Myers Group
- Natural England
- Northern Stone & Paving Co
- Omega Proteins Ltd
- Orchid-environmental
- P Casey (Enviro) Limited
- P Waddington And Sons Ltd
- Parkinson Spencer Refractories Ltd
- Quarry Products Association
- Russell Stone Merchants
- S M Building Products
- Shanks
- Shipley Stone Sales
- · Sibelco UK
- SITA UK Limited
- Skipton Properties
- Sterecycle
- Stone Federation Great Britain

- Tarmac Northern Limited
- TEG Environmental Ltd.
- The Bingley Stone Company (Yorkshire) Ltd
- The British Aggregates Association
- Thomas Crompton Developments Ltd
- University Of Bradford Estates And Facilities
- Veolia Environmental Services Plc
- VISTA ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED
- W E Leach
- Waste Recycling Group Limited
- West Riding Crushing Services
- · West Riding Waste Disposal Limited
- WRG
- · Yorkshire Aggregates Ltd
- · Yorkshire and Humber Assembly
- Yorkshire Poultry Products
- Yorkshire Water Services Ltd
- Yorwaste Ltd

3.0 CONSULTATION LETTER

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Department of Regeneration

Local Development Framework 8th Floor Jacobs Well Bradford BD1 5RW

Tel: (01274) 434950 Fax: (01274) 433767 Minicom: (01274) 392613

E-Mail: Andrew.marshall@bradford.gov.uk

My Ref:

06 November 2008

Dear Sir or Madam

Bradford District Local Development Framework – Core Strategy Issues and Options Further Consultation

- Waste Management Further Issues and Options
- Initial Sustainability Appraisal of Waste Management Further Issues and Options
- Core Strategy: Topic Paper No 7 Environment (Minerals) Update

You may remember that the Council undertook extensive public consultation on the Core Strategy Issues and Options for the Bradford Local Development Framework between February and July 2007.

Following consideration of comments received, and to take account of changes to the National and Regional policies, it was felt necessary to revise the above documents and provide more information to assist the stakeholders in choosing options and making further comments, prior to the preparation of Preferred Options.

I now write to inform you that the Council is currently consulting on the Waste Management Further Issues and Options, the Initial Sustainability Appraisal of Waste Management Further Issues and Options and Core Strategy: Topic Paper No 7 Environment (Minerals) Update reports. All these documents can be downloaded from the Council's website via the Local Development Framework pages found at www.bradford.gov.uk/ldf. Hard reference copies are also available in the Council's planning offices at: Jacob's Well, Bradford, and the Town Halls at Ilkley, Keighley and Shipley; or in the main libraries at Shipley, Bingley, Keighley and Bradford Central Library. In addition, hard copies will be made available on request from the LDF Group.

The Council welcomes your views and comments and will take these into account when producing the Preferred Options for the Core Strategy. Please send your comments to the following FREEPOST address:

Bradford Local Development Framework FREEPOST NEA 11445 PO Box 1068 BRADFORD BD1 1BR

Alternatively, comments should be marked Core Strategy Consultation and emailed to ldf.consultation@bradford.gov.uk or faxed to (01274) 433767.

Comments should be received by 12 December 2008.

Please note that representations cannot be treated as confidential and a schedule of all representations received will be published.

Should you require clarification on any of the above or further information please contact Ali Abed on the above number or Carole Howarth on (01274) 433770. Alternatively, you can email ldf.consultation@bradford.gov.uk with your enquiry.

Yours faithfully,

Andrew Marshall Strategy Manager

A Marille

Encs

4.0 LIST OF THOSE WHO SUBMITTED A WRITTEN REPRESENTATION

List of consultees that submitted general comments on Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals)

Update paper as part of the Further Issues & Options consultation for the Core Strategy.

- 1. English Heritage (Rep No.3)
- 2. Government Office for the Yorkshire and Humber (Rep No.5)
- 3. Highways Agency (Rep No.8)
- 4. Berry & Marshall (Rep No.14)

5.0 SCHEDULE OF WRITTEN REPRESENTATIONS

GENE	GENERAL COMMENTS			
Rep	Name / Organisation	Summary of Representation to		
ID		Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals) Update		
8.	Highways Agency	The only actions are to reserve the Agency's position in relation to developing policy, options and site selection		
		and to indicate the need to minimise or avoid additional HGV traffic on the SRN. Also need to ask to be kept		
		informed if any quantification of volumes produced and transported outside the District becomes available.		

Ques	Question 1: How should the LDF contribute to meeting the region's need for aggregates including crushed rock and sand and				
	gravel?				
Rep	Name /	Summary of Representation to			
ID	Organisation	Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals) Update			
3	English Heritage	In view of the significant permitted reserves of crushed rock and aggregate within the sub-Region, we would			
		favour a strategy based upon restricting further extraction of crushed rock aggregates, maximising the use of			
		secondary materials where appropriate, and of safeguarding such resources form sterilisation by other forms of			
		development.			
		In terms of sand and gravel extraction, given the uncertainty regarding the viability of known reserves within			
		Bradford, it would seem logical to explore further the potential of these resources within the District.			
		Whilst some aggregate production is currently taking place as a secondary activity from quarries mainly producing			
		sandstone in block form (and, indeed, it is recognised that such aggregate production can assist the economic			
		viability of a quarry), it is important that such aggregate production does not compromise the ability of those			
		quarries to			
		provide building or roofing stone or result in better quality stone being utilized for production of aggregates. This is			
		particularly so given that where there are already significant aggregate reserves within this part of the sub-Region.			

Ques	Question 2: How Should the LDF deal with the supply of building stone and flagstone?			
Rep	Name / Summary of Representation to			
ID	Organisation	Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals) Update		
3	3 English Heritage Further to our comments to the initial consultation on the Core Strategy, we are pleased to note that the LDF			
	examining the strategy for safeguarding and maintaining the supply of building stone.			
		In view of the lack of evidence about the extent of the potential sources of building and roofing stone within the Bradford, as		
		a starting point, it is essential that an assessment is undertaken of the extent and location of such materials within the		
		District. To this end, English Heritage would be willing to liaise with the Council (perhaps as part of a wider assessment of		
		the resource within West Yorkshire as a whole) about how it can assist in the delivery of such an assessment.		
		In line with the advice in MPS1 Annex 3, the LDF should set out a strategy to safeguard sources of building and roofing		
		stone against sterilisation from other forms of development.		
5	Government Office	Office 1. Para 2.4: MPAs should also "facilitate sites and operations" (RSS policy ENV4). In the Core Strategy, Bradford will r		
	for Yorkshire &	to identify the broad locations of sites (we note the call for sites at para 5.0). You should also be aware of the judgement in		
	Humber	Associated British Ports v Hampshire County Council & Others (4 July 2008), as mentioned above. The High Court held that		
		certain policies in the Hampshire County Council Waste and Minerals Core Strategy were unsound in that they had		
		identified the broad locations of sites (albeit not details of specific sites).		
		2. Paras 2.13 and 3.2: these say that there are likely to be constraints on the exploitation of sand and gravel resources in		
		West Yorkshire, and that West Yorkshire currently makes a minimal contribution to sand and gravel supply within the region.		
		A brief explanation is needed for clarity in future documents.		
3. Para 3.3 Option 5: "Ensuring that sand and gravel and crushed rock aggregate resources are s				
		sterilisation by other forms of development" – this is a requirement, therefore not really an option.		
		4. Examples of Minerals Policy Issues to be covered in a Core Strategy		

Writte	Written Representation submitted following Minerals Stakeholder meeting				
Rep	Name /	Summary of Representation to			
ID	Organisation	Topic Paper 7: Environment (Minerals) Update			
4	Berry and Marshall	Safeguarding:			
	(Bolton Wood)	Any quality building stone			
	Limited	2. Building stone output requires allowance for aggregate production to maintain viable business.			
		Local historic evidence.			
		More complex planning issues are involved.			
		5. Possibly			
		6. No minimum size. Size is relevant to scale and quality of operation.			
		Supply:			
		 Relative to volume of building and civil engineering work taking place. 			
		2. Number of alternatives is relative to potential output of allocations. Product needs might be sourced from builders,			
		builders merchants, civil engineers but considerable trade does come from private people for stone industry			
		produce.			
		3. Business should be allowed to market as best it can, be it local or national.			
		4. Specialised area but needs consideration.			
		5. Yes			
		6. Site requirement are best coming from the stone industry.			
	7. Has many planning considerations.				
		8. Yes if situations at existing sites are satisfactory.			
		9. Quite possibly – can be unknown until tried or viable evidence of survey.			
		10. Yes.			

RE	RESPONSE TO CALL FOR SITES (MINERALS)				
Rep No. Site Location			Location		
4	Berry and Marshall (Bolton	Land adjacent to Bolton Woods Quarry	Bolton Hall Road, Bradford		
	Wood) Limited	Land adjacent to Deep Lane Quarry Land adjacent to Fagley Quarry	Clayton, Bradford Eccleshill, Bradford		

6.0 CONSULTATION EVENT INVITE LETTER

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Department of Regeneration

[Address]

Planning Service

Minerals and Waste Team 3rd Floor Jacobs Well Bradford BD1 5RW

Tel: (01274) 434605 Fax: (01274) 722840 Minicom: (01274) 392613

E-Mail: Michael.eaglestone@bradford.gov.uk

12 January 2009

Dear Sir/ Madam

MINERALS PLANNING FOR THE BRADFORD DISTRICT

As you may be aware, the Planning Service is currently preparing a new set of plans for the district called the *Local Development Framework*. One of the first documents to be produced as part of this new planning framework is the *Core Strategy*, which will include broad policies relating to the supply of minerals and safeguarding of mineral resources over the next 20 years. Following on from this document we hope to make specific allocations for sites and/or areas for future minerals extraction on detailed proposals maps.

The minerals industry has an important role to play in the process of drawing up these policies and plans. You can influence the content of the new planning documents by putting forward new or extended sites to be considered for future minerals extraction and by advising the planning authority on the realities facing the minerals industry and the specific issues which you feel should be addressed in minerals planning policies.

Without the input of industry it is possible that potential future new or extended minerals extraction sites could be missed, making it harder to gain planning permission for these sites in the future. You would also miss an opportunity to influence planning policies for the future and shape a new development framework which is sensitive to the issues facing quarry operators and the stone industry.

The Council recently sent out a consultation document setting out issues and options for minerals planning in Bradford (enclosed). Unfortunately no responses to this consultation were received from the minerals industry.

In order to engage with the minerals industry we propose to hold a meeting with quarry operators within the Bradford District. During this meeting we can explain the process for producing the Local development Framework and broadly outline the issues and options for minerals planning within Bradford. Through group discussion sessions you will then have an opportunity to express your opinions on the policy options and put forward views on how planning policies should respond to the issues facing the minerals industry. Following the meeting a further 2 week period will be allowed for you to submit written comments and put forward sites or areas for future minerals extraction.

I am looking to arrange a morning meeting beginning at 10:00 on Wednesday 4 February 2009 at Jacobs Well in Bradford City Centre. Please respond to this letter by confirming whether you will be able to attend this meeting.

If you have any questions regarding this letter please contact Michael Eaglestone on 01274 434605 or michael.eagestone@bradford.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely

Michael Eaglestone Minerals and Waste Planning Officer

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Department of Regeneration

[Address]

.

Planning Service
Minerals and Waste Team
3rd Floor Jacobs Well
Bradford
BD1 5RW

Tel: (01274) 434605 Fax: (01274) 722840 Minicom: (01274) 392613

E-Mail: Michael.eaglestone@bradford.gov.uk

29 January 2009

Dear Sir/ Madam

MINERALS STAKEHOLDER MEETING

Further to my recent letter inviting you to attend a Minerals Industry Stakeholder Meeting, I am writing to you to confirm details of the event and notify you of a change of venue. The meeting will be in the form of an initial presentation followed by group discussions and will allow you opportunity to put forward your views on the issues affecting the minerals industry and how new minerals planning policies should respond to these issues.

Venue: The Design Exchange (Lockwood Room), 34 Peckover Street, Bradford, BD1 5BD

Date and time: Wednesday 4 February 2009 10:00 - 12:30

Notes: There is no parking on site, please report to reception and they will direct you to the correct room, tea and coffee will be provided.

If you have any questions regarding this letter please contact Michael Eaglestone on 01274 434605 or michael.eagestone@bradford.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely

Michael Eaglestone

7.0 LIST OF PEOPLE INVITED TO MINERALS STAKEHOLDER MEETING

7.1 The following list of organisations were invited to attend the Minerals Stakeholder Meeting on the 4th February 2009:

ORGANISATION	SECTOR	
Bardill Barnard	Planning Agent	
The Land & Development Practice	Planning Agent	
Silkstone Environmental Ltd	Planning Agent	
Fennell Green & Bates	Planning Agent	
The Mineral Planning Group	Planning Agent	
Dolmens	Stone Merchant	
Russell Stone Merchants	Stone Merchant	
S M Building Products	Stone Merchant	
Shipley Stone Sales	Stone Merchant	
Clayax Yorkstone Ltd	Stone Merchant	
M & G Stone Ltd	Stone Merchant	
Myers Group	Stone Merchant	
Dennis Gillson and Son	Quarry Operator	
Hard York Quarries Ltd	Quarry Operator	
Midgeham Cliff End Quarry Ltd	Quarry Operator	
Birks Royd Stone	Quarry Operator	
M & M Stone	Quarry Operator	
Ashlar Stone Products	Quarry Operator	
Farrar Natural Stone	Quarry Operator	
Parkinson Spencer Refractories Ltd	Quarry Operator	
P Casey (Enviro) Limited	Quarry Operator	

8.0 DELEGATE LIST

8.1 The table below lists the individuals representing various organisations that attended the Minerals Stakeholder Meeting on the 4th February 2009.

NAME	ORGANISATION	SECTOR	GROUP
Carole Haworth	CBMDC – Minerals and Waste Team	Facilitator	А
Samantha Fernie	CBMDC – Minerals and Waste Team	Facilitator	В
Michael Eaglestone	CBMDC – Minerals and Waste Team	Facilitator	С
John Carlon	Fennel, Green and Bates	Minerals Agent	А
Mark Barrett	Silkstone Environmental	Minerals Agent	А
Dolmens Representative	Dolmens Ltd	Stone Merchant	А
Serg Dulay	Dennis Gillson & Son Ltd	Minerals Operator	А
Shaun Berry	Johnsons Wellfield Quarries	Minerals Operator	А
Martin Millmore	Minerals Planning Group	erals Planning Group Minerals Agent	
Andrew Hollingworth	Fennel, Green and Bates	Minerals Agent	В
Gary Hodgkinson	Sary Hodgkinson M & G Stone S		В
Roger Sadler	Midgeham Cliff End Quarry Ltd	Minerals Operator	В
Cedric Gillson	Dennis Gillson & Son Ltd	Minerals Operator	В
James Bailey	Hainworth Shaw Quarry	Minerals Operator	С
Jessica Morgan Minerals Planning Group Mi		Minerals Agent	С
Mary Spiesberger	Minerals Planning Agent	Minerals Agent	С
Edward Marshall	Berry and Marchall (Bolton Wood) Limited Minerals Operator		С
Tony Barry	P Casey (Enviro) Limited	Minerals Operator	С

9.0 POWERPOINT PRESENTATION





Welcome & Event Outline

Carole Howarth (Senior Planning Officer) Minerals and Waste Planning Team





Scope and Purpose

- Objective: Engage key minerals industry stakeholders in the policy development process.
- What we will do: Briefly explain the LDF process, set out the potential options for future minerals planning policies, listen to and record your comments.
- What you can do: Put forward your views on the issues facing the minerals industry within Bradford and the planning policy options which would best respond to these issues.
- What we are not here to do: Air grievances, discuss individual planning applications or development control decisions/ process.



What is the Core Strategy?



Local Development Framework Structure



City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

Core Strategy

- First DPD sets out the 'big picture' through a spatial picture & Vision
- Other LDF documents deliver detailed approach in line with Vision, Strategy and Core Policies.
- · Not site specific but needs to guide broad locations of development, change and restraint
- Written statement with spatial policies supported by a key diagram

Issues for the Minerals Industry and **Options for future Minerals Planning** Policies and Land Allocations.

Minerals Elements of Core Strategy

- · Identify key minerals for Bradford
- Describe significant issues related to the supply and use of those minerals
- · Set out the approach to safeguarding minerals and broadly show the location of Minerals Safeguarding Areas
- · Set out the approach to be taken to secure adequate and steady supplies of minerals needed by society and the economy within the limits set by the environment. (landbanks)
- · Indicate how the location of future minerals extraction will be guided through subsequent Development Plan Documents (site allocations/ preferred areas/ areas of

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

Issues

· Building Stone:

- Scarcity of certain types of natural stone products (threat to quality of built heritage, sustainability of importing stone)

Issues

- Sterilisation of resources by other forms of development and ecological designations

· Crushed Rock Aggregate:

- Excessive West Yorkshire landbank (36.8 years)
- Production of aggregate at building stone quarries (sustainability, scale of impacts)

· Sand and Gravel:

- Only 2 sites with permitted reserves in West Yorkshire
- Potential increase in West Yorkshire apportionment
- Resources within Bradford relatively constrained

- Coal
 - No active deep or shallow coal mines
 - Potential shallow coal resources within southeastern quarter of district.
 - Energy White Paper 2007

Fireclay

- No active workings
- Questionable viability of future workings primarily for fireclay.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

Options – Building Stone

Supply:

- Make no allocations for new or extended building stone quarries and instead consider need for new workings on a case by case basis based on the evidence put forward in planning applications.
- Consider any sites for new or extended building stone quarries put forward by stakeholders and make allocations accordingly
- Maintain the preference for the extension (or reopening) of existing quarries rather than opening new workings.
- Proactively search for sites to allocate for building stone extraction within the plan period (constraints, dormant and disused workings, diversity of supply, lack of quantitative evidence).

- Ensure that all sandstone resources identified by BGS are safeguarded.
- Apply constraints to safeguarding (urban areas, ecological designations)
- Only safeguard proven resources adjacent to existing or historic workings.
- Do not safeguard sandstone resources given their relative abundance.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

ww.bradford.gov.uk

Options – Aggregates

Supply:

- Make no allocations for new or extended workings for primary aggregate quarries based on the excessive sub-regional landbank.
- Allow limited aggregate production at building stone quarries based on economic and operational requirements at individual sites.
- Maximise aggregate production at building stone quarries (resource utilisation, scale of impacts, restoration)
- Prohibit aggregate production from any new building stone reserves permitted within the plan period (landbank)

Safeguarding

- Safeguard sandstone resources for both viable aggregate and building stone resources.
- Specify that only viable building stone resources should be safeguarded but not aggregate.
- Do not safeguard sandstone resources given their relative abundance.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Introduction to Discussion Groups

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Options – Sand and Gravel

· Supply:

- Consider any sites for new sand and gravel extraction put forward by stakeholders and make allocations accordingly.
- Proactively search for sites to allocate for sand and gravel extraction within the plan period (constraints, commercial concerns about viability).
- Given the uncertain viability of sand and gravel resources within Bradford make no allocations at the current time but annually monitor and review the situation.

Safeguarding

- Ensure that all sand and gravel resources identified by BGS are safeguarded.
- Apply constraints to safeguarding (urban areas, ecological designations)
- Do not safeguard sand and gravel resources within the district.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.u

Options - Coal and Fireclay

Supply:

- Consider any sites for coal/ fireclay extraction put forward by stakeholders and make allocations accordingly.
- Maintain the presumption against coal extraction but annually monitor and review the situation to respond to any changes in national planning guidance or renewed interest in commercial coal/ fireclay extraction within the district.

· Safeguarding

- Ensure that all shallow coal and fireclay resources identified by BGS are safeguarded.
- Apply constraints to safeguarding (urban areas, ecological designations)
- Do not safeguard shallow coal/ fireclay resources given the relative abundance and uncertain commercial viability of the resource

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Where Next?

What we will do:

- · Record and consider consultation feedback
- Consider consultation comments, any other relevant evidence and sustainability appraisal, and produce a preferred options document setting out what policies we think the new LDF Core Strategy should contain.
- Following a further consultation period and review of the preferred options document put forward a submission draft Core Strategy document for public examination by the Planning Inspectorate.

What you can do:

- Use the further 2 week consultation period to submit any written comments/ evidence or put forward sites/ areas you consider appropriate for future minerals extraction.
- Read the preferred options consultation document to be published in spring/ summer and make any additional comments/ suggestions during the consultation period.
- Submit comments on the submission draft Core Strategy and attend/ speak at the public examination.

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Web Information

- Council general planning:
 http://www.bradford.gov.uk/the-environment/planning-service/
- Bradford Local Development Framework:
 http://www.bradford.gov.uk/the-environment/planning-service/local-development-framework/
- Department of Communities & Local Government: http://www.communities.gov.uk/
- Planning Advisory Service: http://www.pas.gov.uk/

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.u

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.L

Contact Information

- Local Development Framework Group
 - local.development.framework@bradford.gov.uk
 - Idf.consultation@bradford.gov.uk
 - O1274 434050
- · Minerals and Waste Planning Team
 - Minerals.Planning@Bradford.gov.uk
 - 01274 434370 (via contact centre)

City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

www.bradford.gov.uk

Discussion Group List

Group A	Group B	Group C
Facilitator: Carole Howarth	Facilitator: Samantha Fernie	Facilitator: Michael Eaglestone
John Carlon	Martin Millmore	Chris Ballam
Mark Barrett	Andrew Hollingworth	Jessica Morgan
Dolmens Representative	Gary Hodgkinson	Melvyn Poole
Serg Dulay	Midgeham Representative	Edward Marshall
Shaun Berry	Cedric Gillson	Tony Barry

Questions?

10.0 FACILITATOR NOTES

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS MADE DURING GROUP DISCUSSIONS:

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
SAFEGUARDING	Safeguarding should ensure that	Safeguarding all minerals would be a	Housing developments should not
(GENERAL)	housing does not impact on future	huge task; there is therefore a need to	threaten mineral extraction.
	opportunities for mineral	prioritise on the basis of unsatisfied	Priority should be given to
	extraction.	demand, particularly for dimension	safeguarding potential building
	Dormant Quarries should be	stone.	stone reserves over other forms of
	safeguarded.	Safeguarding should be linked to other	development of the land.
		policies i.e. housing to ensure prior	Safeguarding the entire sandstone
		extraction has been considered.	resource area identified by BGS
			would be of limited use, as it is
			unlikely that unproven resources
			will be worked in the future. Instead
			policy should focus on safeguarding
			resources adjacent to existing
			quarries especially where other
			development is encroaching.
			Building stone quarrying generally
			takes place over a long period of
			time. Prior extraction is more
			suitable to other minerals, such as

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
			opencast coal workings which can
			be worked over a shorter period.
WHAT MINERALS	Dimension/ Building Stone, Block	Society has a significant need for	It would not be appropriate or
SHOULD BE	Stone and Flag Stone resources	minerals, all should be safeguarded.	practicable to exclude aggregate
SAFEGUARDED?	should be safeguarded.	Coal and fireclay are very important in	resources from MSAs. It is
	Sand and gravel should be	terms of future reserves. Thus should	extremely difficult to accurately
	safeguarded to ensure that	also be safeguarded.	predict the quality of a sandstone
	resources are not sterilised.	There is a duty to consider aggregates	resource before it is worked;
	Geologically, fire clays sites in	for safeguarding.	therefore it would be difficult to
	Bradford are world class and		determine whether a sandstone
	should be safeguarded.		resource under a proposed
	There are firms still making		development site was suitable for
	products using fireclay, so fireclay		building stone or just aggregate
	sites should be safeguarded.		without actually working the
	Coal has to be worked in order to		mineral.
	get to the fireclay.		
	Surface coal mining reserves and		
	clay reserves could become very		
	important resources in the future		
	and we should safeguard such		
	sites across the district.		
	Sites across the district.		

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
SHOULD MSAs	Housing developments should not	Urban areas should only be included in	New development, particularly
INCLUDE URBAN	threaten mineral extraction. We	MSAs if the resource is of a very high	housing, should not be allowed
AREAS?	should quarry the site before it is	calibre, otherwise this could be too	close to the existing quarries in
	redeveloped for housing.	restrictive.	built-up/urban areas.
	Some sites which are being	Coal is a different issue, as open cast	
	redeveloped for housing are good	coal can be extracted quickly before	
	quality mineral sources.	development takes place.	
	Developers should be urged to		
	extract minerals from the site		
	before they are redeveloped.		
	We must have a criteria based		
	policy approach to ensure we do		
	not lose opportunities for minerals		
	extraction to housing		
	developments.		
SHOULD A BUFFER	A 200m MSA buffer zone may be	Buffer sizes can range from 50m to	Given the differences in scale and
BE APPLIED TO	appropriate.	500m. There is no perfect answer.	operational practices between
MSAs, IF SO WHAT	Buffer zones should also be	It depends on the type of production for	quarries, it is difficult to generalise
SIZE?	flexible subject to topography,	individual sites, machinery used and	an MSA buffer size which would be
	local climate, etc	traffic levels. 100m to 250m could be	appropriate to all. Urban quarries
	Buffer zones are dependent upon	reasonable. It would depend on	tend to operate with practically no
	the operation and the location.	whether just dimension stone was being	buffer from surrounding housing.
		produced or aggregates as well.	The relatively low impact working

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
		Too narrow a buffer can require	practices used at building stone
		mitigation measures that reduce	quarries can allow working in close
		viability.	proximity to residential areas
		It was recognised that the public are	however this is reliant on operators
		looking for wide buffers. The quantities	successfully mitigating impacts.
		of dust produced can be limited by	250 - 400m was mentioned as a
		weather and location of quarries. The	buffer size which has been used in
		government has produced studies	the past.
		which have recommendations of up to	
		1k.	
MINERALS SUPPLY	Lack of variety/ diversity in	There is a drastic shortage of building	High quality sandstone resources
ISSUES	available building stone supply is	stone, especially that of certain calibres.	suitable for block stone and flag
	a concern.	The shortage of blockstone is restricting	production are limited not abundant
	• Riven flagstone (Elland Flag) is in	work that can be done. Only stone of a	and therefore their value should be
	very short supply and should be a	limited calibre is currently available.	recognised in new planning
	priority in searching for sites for	Reserves of high quality stone have	documents and land allocations.
	future extraction and	decreased in the area, new allocations	The reserves of good quality stone
	safeguarding.	are required.	have diminished greatly in
	Sawn flags are easier to source.	Greater consideration should be given	Yorkshire/Bradford. So new
	A number of quarries within the	to local minerals supply issues and local	allocations for sandstone building
	district producing flagstone have	demand e.g. Where will stone come	stone quarries are essential.
	closed.	from for regeneration? From what	Quarrying for building stone is a 'hit
	There are small areas of sand	distance should stone be imported? Is	and miss' activity where the quality

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
	and gravel reserves in West	stone from abroad of sufficient quality?	of reserves can not always be
	Yorkshire. There is conflict with	There is limited knowledge of good	accurately predicted.
	built up urban areas.	quality local stone. There are questions	
	Geologically, sand and gravel	about sustainability and quality of	
	resources may not be located in	supply from abroad.	
	the district.	A lack of supply can make blockstone	
	It is worth searching for sand and	so expensive that developers are	
	gravel, but there are unlikely to be	reluctant to use it.	
	large sites. The eastern periphery	Quarrying is not always accurate; the	
	of West Yorkshire has the sand	quality of stone cannot always be	
	and gravel reserves.	predicted. Supply also depends on the	
	The geology of Bradford District	faces being worked and the agreed	
	means that we do have sites for	phasing of extraction.	
	coal and fire clay.	Supplies from quarries can be managed	
	There should not be a	according to the level of economic	
	presumption against open cast	activity and demand.	
	mining per se. We must		
	recognise that fireclay requires		
	open cast coal mining.		
	There are problems with stone		
	slate supply due to a lack of skills.		
	Production of stone slate from		
	resources within the district		

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
	should be viable. We have stone		
	slate quarries towards Thornton		
	for example, but the skills are no		
	longer available to work the		
	material.		
	Skills are being lost in the local		
	area due to a lack of flagstone		
	quarry reserves.		
MINERAL DEMAND/	Block stone and flag stone is	Bradford needs to be recognised as an	The majority of Building stone and
NEED ISSUES	important for historical buildings	area built in block stone and sandstone.	flag produced in Bradford is exported
	and structures in the district and	There is therefore a need for local stone	to other regions, London and, to a
	beyond.	to maintain the local identity of Bradford	lesser extent, oversees markets.
	The Dimension Stone produced	and to avoid having to transport stone	York Stone is a widely marketable
	in the district is sought after and	significant distances.	product and sales are not limited to
	is unique to the district/sub	Block and flag stone are important for	areas where buildings have
	region.	the historical built environment for the	traditionally been built from this
	Flagstone producers are very	district and beyond.	material.
	busy and cannot satisfy demand.	Stone from Bradford is exported to	The 'built heritage' market was
	Dimension Stone and Flags are	other areas of the country; London was	stated to represent a relatively small
	exported outside the district/	highlighted as an area that has used a	proportion of sales with new build
	region. Local products are also	lot of stone from this area. Thus	projects being far more significant.
	supplied for local use; walling	demand for the stone is not limited to	Stone slate is expensive to produce
	stone for example is used locally.	the area it has been worked.	and demand is not consistent.

Topic/ Issue		Group A Comments		Group B Comments	Group C Comments
	•	Building stone supply is a national	•	Priority should be given to the supply of	Demand for this material is heavily
		and international issue. There is		minerals for regional and local markets.	related to built heritage conservation
		demand nationally and	•	The need for stone for historic buildings	policies adopted by Councils.
		internationally. York Stone come		is an element of local demand. Stone	
		from Bradford, Leeds, Calderdale		for historic buildings needs to be	
		and Kirklees. We must cater for		matched. Imported stone should only	
		the strong demand.		be used as a last resort.	
	•	Dimension stone is important for	•	The demand for stone to support	
		local industry/economy, local		historic buildings would be insufficient to	
		jobs, trades, skills.		support supply alone without a demand	
				from new build.	
			•	Bradford should seek to keep up	
				demand by having a policy for funding	
				public art projects which use local	
				stone.	
			•	Demand has only fallen in the short	
				term due to the recession.	
			•	Dimension Stone and flag are important	
				for local industry, heritage and skills.	

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
How should the	Minerals extraction can only take	Areas of search are relatively easy to	Potential new sites as well as
LOCATION OF NEW	place where suitable resources	produce by sieve analysis, but there	existing areas need to be looked at;
MINERALS	occur; therefore mineral planning	needs to be a recognition that these	however new minerals extraction of
DEVELOPMENT BE	authorities should not seek to	would not necessarily lead to planning	unproven resources is unlikely due
GUIDED THROUGH	influence the location of new	permission being granted.	to the expense and practicalities of
THE LDF?	minerals development.	A combination of areas of search,	exploratory work and therefore
	We would support a combination	preferred areas and site allocations was	allocation of greenfield sites would
	of approaches with both broad	considered to be the best option.	be of limited practical benefit unless
	areas of search and site specific	The preference for extensions to	resources had been proven.
	safeguarded land.	existing sites could form an element in	Areas adjacent to existing
	Areas of search should be	future policy, but new sites should still	operational minerals extraction sites
	allocated for Coal and Fireclay,	be considered on their merits.	are the most likely locations for new
	sandstone and Elland Flag		minerals development.
	resources.		
	The information in relation to		
	other minerals is very market		
	sensitive, so it may not be		
	desirable to highlight individual		
	sites for search.		
	There should not be a general		
	presumption in favour of		
	extending existing sites, as the		
	opening of new or re-opening of		

Topic/ Issue		Group A Comments		Group B Comments	Group C Comments
		dormant/ disused sites might be			
		preferable in certain cases.			
	•	We should keep all options open.			
	•	Options for the re-opening of			
		dormant and disused quarries			
		should be explored before looking			
		at Greenfield sites.			
SHOULD ANY	•	Minerals extraction inevitably has	•	Minerals can only be extracted where	
CONSTRAINTS BE		an impact on ecological		these occur.	
APPLIED TO		designations (bats, wetlands etc)	•	It may be possible to work around	
EXCLUDE AREAS	•	European Designations and		sensitive geological areas. A high	
FROM BEING		SSSIs do impose constraints on		percentage of SSSIs are former	
ALLOCATED FOR		minerals extraction.		quarries.	
FUTURE MINERALS			•	Ancient monuments can be a	
EXTRACTION?				constraint.	
			•	Consideration could be given to the	
				weighting of constraints, although this	
				was considered to be too complicated	
				to be the best solution.	

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
IS THE RE-OPENING	We have a lot of dormant quarries	Much depends on the individual quarry,	Dormant and disused quarries
OF DORMANT OR	that could be opened again.	on whether it has restoration conditions	could potentially be re-opened;
DISUSED SITES	Some quarries are dormant and	and on whether it is greenfield or	however the sites should be
LIKELY TO BE	disused due to the nature of	brownfield.	investigated thoroughly, as there
COMMERCIALLY	technology at that time. New		may well be reasons why the site is
VIABLE?	technology/machinery could		no longer worked and would not be
	make dormant quarries and		viable for future working.
	disused quarries viable again.		Historic working methods were very
			labour intensive and digging was a
			slow process. Modern quarrying
			techniques may allow the
			exploitation of resources that were
			not viable to access in the past.
			There was not thought to be a
			significant number of disused
			quarries within Bradford that would
			be suitable for re-opening.

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
AGGREGATE	Quarries should recover	There is an argument that the	There is an argument that the
PRODUCTION AT	aggregates and make use of all	aggregate produced at a building stone	aggregate produced at a building
BUILDING STONE	possible resources in the interest	quarries could be classed as secondary	stone quarries could be classed as
QUARRIES	of the environment and efficiency.	aggregate.	secondary aggregate.
	Aggregates are a by-product from	• The landbank is within the control of a	Prohibiting aggregate production at
	the quarrying of building stone.	few operators. The landbank is an	building stone quarries would make
	Stopping aggregate production at	artificial concept. There is a need to	most quarries impractical to
	building stone quarries would	consider real demand and supply	operate. The consequence of not
	sterilise mineral resources.	issues. There is potential for assessing	being able to sell aggregate would
	Dimension Stone and aggregates	individual quarry production in relation	be for quarries to become waste-
	quarrying in Bradford are	to supply.	bound and unworkable or to have to
	intertwined.	Quarries should not be classified as	store large obtrusive mounds of
	Planning Authorities should focus	producing one material or another.	overburden/ mineral waste on
	on maintaining supplies of locally	They are producing a combination of	adjoining land.
	sourced aggregates/stone. This is	building materials.	Aggregate produced at building
	a sustainable solution, better for	In some cases it would not be practical	stone quarries is generally sold at
	the environment (proximity	to operate a quarry without some	low cost and is sometimes
	principle).	aggregate production without it	practically given away is it can not
	In the interest of sustainability, we	becoming waste bound.	compete with the high quality
	should utilise every type of	Government policy is for there to be at	limestone aggregates produced in
	stone/mineral in the district, use	least 10 years supply of aggregates.	other areas.
	local aggregates and support	The suitability of recycled aggregate	No building stone quarry operator
	local industries.	has not been proven and it is mainly	would crush any stone reserves

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
	Production of aggregates does	used as fill material.	which are capable of producing
	lead to challenges in terms of		building stone; as the value of
	restoration of workings.		building stone is much greater than
			aggregate.
			The aggregates levy ensures that
			secondary aggregates have a
			competitive advantage over primary
			aggregates.
			Sandstone aggregates and recycled
			aggregates rarely supply the same
			markets. Recycled aggregates were
			said to be mainly only suitable as fill
			material.
Sources of	Bradford has good BGS	The basic geological maps are a	The British Geological Survey may
EVIDENCE TO	information. BGS electronic maps	starting point, but can give a false	be able to provide information on
INFORM MINERAL	and historical OS maps are a	picture in local terms.	where past exploratory surveys and
ALLOCATIONS/	good basis to start from. The	Borehole data from operators would be	the areas where resources have
Policies	minerals industry should assist	useful to improve this.	been proven.
	the local planning authority by	There is a lack of information about coal	The Planning Authority should place
	sharing knowledge of the industry	in the area.	significant importance on quarry
	and the data (site investigations).	Need could be based on demand for	operators' knowledge of the mineral
	The industry should look to	stone for buildings. There should be	reserves present within particular
	supply better site investigation	support for the use of local stone.	quarries and their understanding of

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
	information (get our facts and	Useful assessments of supply depend	which areas are likely to be suitable
	figures right) so that we can have	on an understanding of the extraction	for future extraction.
	an informed discussion.	process and viability.	Exposures from existing workings
	The Coal Authority could provide	There was uncertainty whether data	and the experiences of individual
	information on evidence in	was available to identify preferred	quarry operators are generally the
	relation to Coal and Fire Clay.	areas. Again the need for borehole	best sources of information on the
	We support the findings of the	data was noted to help identify accurate	potential viability of new resources.
	Symonds Report and the BGS	areas.	
	Minerals Factsheets.		
	There should be West Yorkshire		
	supply and demand study, as part		
	of the evidence for the core		
	strategy, which should focus on		
	block stone quarrying.		
	Coring is a good starting point in		
	terms of determining the viability		
	of mineral resources.		
	The only way to determine		
	viability is to dig and trial.		

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
Public		It was recognised that the public's lack	The benefits of quarrying rarely
PERCEPTION OF		of knowledge of minerals workings is an	come to the fore during contentious
THE MINERALS		issue for the industry and better PR and	planning applications whilst local
INDUSTRY		educational visits can be worth	community objections may be given
		considering.	significant weight. Wider publicity of
		There is a poor public perception of	the benefits of quarrying would
		large operators.	greatly benefit the industry.
			The importance of the building
			stone industry to Bradford should
			be highlighted in the Core Strategy.
			The building stone industry provides
			employment, keeps traditional crafts
			and skills alive and provides high
			quality building materials for use all
			over the UK.
GENERAL	We would like to see a flexible		The circumstances of each building
COMMENTS	approach to small scale quarrying		stone quarry are very different and
	as it's a 'craft business' as it is		having set of generic policies may
	skills based.		not be helpful. Instead the
	An annual get together between		operational needs of each site
	the Local Planning Authority and		should be considered and policies
	the local Minerals industry should		should have sufficient flexibility to
	be held to understand		allow for the unpredictability of

Topic/ Issue	Group A Comments	Group B Comments	Group C Comments
	developments in the industry and		resources and markets for finished
	also changes to planning		products.
	(Exchange information). This		Minerals planners should work
	could form part of monitoring and		more closely with the Minerals
	managing mineral planning		Industry, who have greatest
	policies and proposals.		knowledge of mineral resources
			and reserves. The planning
			authority should meet with quarry
			operators for in-depth discussions
			on how each quarry should develop
			in the future and what land
			allocations should be made to
			facilitate this.

11.0 SUMMARY OF KEY ISSUES FROM STAKEHOLDER MEETING

The notes below provide a summary of the key points raised during the stakeholder meeting.

SAFEGUARDING

- Safeguarding is particularly appropriate for proven resources adjacent to existing workings and for particularly scarce resources such as those capable of producing dimension stone.
- Some sites which are being redeveloped for housing are good quality mineral sources.
- Surface coal mining and fireclay resources could become very important in the future and we should safeguard such sites across the district, geologically, fireclay sites in Bradford are world class.
- Except for coal, urban areas should only be included in MSAs if the resource is of a very high calibre, otherwise this could be too restrictive.
- MSA buffer sizes can range from 50m to 500m. Given the differences in scale and
 practices between quarries, it is difficult to set an MSA buffer size which would be
 appropriate to all. Urban quarries tend to operate with practically no buffer from
 surrounding housing.

SUPPLY AND DEMAND

- The importance of the building stone industry to Bradford should be highlighted. The
 building stone industry provides employment, keeps traditional crafts and skills alive and
 provides high quality building materials for use all over the UK.
- A large proportion of building stone and flag produced in Bradford is exported to other regions, London and oversees markets. York Stone is a widely marketable product and sales are not limited to areas where buildings have traditionally been built from this material.
- There is a drastic shortage of building stone, especially that of certain calibres. Reduction in variety/ diversity of supply is also concerning.
- The Dimension Stone produced in the district is sought after and is unique to the district/sub region.
- Reserves of high quality stone have decreased in the area; riven flagstone (Elland Flag)
 is in particularly short supply.
- A lack of supply can make blockstone so expensive that developers are reluctant to use it.

- There are problems with stone slate supply due to the expense of producing it and a lack
 of skills.
- There is a need for local stone to maintain the local identity of Bradford and to avoid having to transport stone significant distances.
- Block and flag stone are important for the historical built environment for the district and beyond.
- There are concerns about the sustainability of importing stone and the quality of stone supplied from abroad.
- There should not be a presumption against open cast mining; we must recognise that fireclay requires open cast coal mining.
- The aggregates landbank is within the control of a few operators. The landbank is an artificial concept. There is a need to consider real demand and supply issues.
- Prohibiting aggregate production at building stone quarries would make most quarries impractical to operate.
- No building stone quarry operator would crush any stone reserves which are capable of producing building stone; as the value of building stone is much greater than aggregate.

LOCATION OF NEW MINERALS DEVELOPMENT

- Minerals extraction can only take place where suitable resources occur; therefore mineral
 planning authorities should not seek to influence the location of new minerals
 development.
- Areas adjacent to existing operational minerals extraction sites are the most likely locations for new minerals development.
- The opening of new or re-opening of dormant/ disused sites might be preferable to the extension of existing quarries in certain cases.
- Options for the re-opening of dormant and disused quarries should be explored before looking at greenfield sites.
- New technology/machinery could make dormant quarries and disused quarries viable again.
- Exposures from existing workings and the experiences of individual quarry operators are generally the best sources of information on the potential viability of new resources.

GENERAL

 Minerals planners should work more closely with the Minerals Industry. Policies should have sufficient flexibility to allow for the variability of quarry characteristics and the unpredictability of resources and markets for finished products.

Produced by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council

Local Development Framework Group

March 2009

